

Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (GJPAM)

Print ISSN : 0973-1768

Online ISSN: 0973-9750

Editor-in-Chief:

Aims and Scope: The *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (GJPAM)* is an international journal of high quality devoted to the publication of original research papers from pure and applied mathematics with some emphasis on all areas and subareas of mathematical analysis and their broad range of applications. Areas and subareas of interest include (but are not limited to) approximation theory; statistics; probability; fluid mechanics; Fuzzy mathematics; transport theory; mathematical biology, including population dynamics; wave propagation; special functions; algebra and applications; combinatorics; coding theory; fractional analysis; solid mechanics; variational methods; financial mathematics; asymptotic methods; graph theory; fractals; moment theory; scattering theory; number theory and applications; geometry of Banach spaces; topology and applications; complex analysis; stochastic process; bifurcation theory; differential equations; difference equations; dynamical systems; functional differential equations; numerical analysis; partial differential equations; integral equations; operator theory; Fourier analysis; matrix theory; semigroups of operators; mathematical physics; convex analysis; applied harmonic analysis; optimization; wavelets; signal theory; ultrametric analysis; optimal control; fixed-point theory and applications; reaction-diffusion problems, discrete mathematics; automata theory and more...

Submission: Authors are requested to submit their papers electronically to submit@ripublication.com with mention journal title (**GJPAM**) in subject line.

Indexing and Abstracting: The **GJPAM** is abstracted and indexed in SCOPUS(2010-2016), the Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, and EBSCO Databases, **ICI**, **Index Copernicus**,

Frequency: Six issues per year.

Annual Subscription Price:

Library/ Institutional: Print : US\$780.00 Online Only: US\$760.00

Print + Online : US\$820.00

Individual/ Personnel: Print US\$390.00

Inside India: Rs.3000.00

DOI No. DOI:10.37622/000000

- ✚ [Editorial Board](#)
- ✚ [Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement](#)
- ✚ [Instruction for Authors](#)
- ✚ [Special Issue](#)
- ✚

- [Vol.2 No.1-2 No.3 \(2006\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.3 No.1 No.2 No.3 \(2007\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.4 No.1 No.2 No.3 \(2008\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.5 No.1 No.2 No.3 \(2009\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.6 No.1 No.2 No.3 \(2010\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.7 No.1 No.2 No.3 \(2011\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.8 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 \(2012\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.9 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2013\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.10 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2014\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.11 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2015\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.12 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2016\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.13 No.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 \(2017\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.14 No.1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 \(2018\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.15 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2019\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.16 No.1 No.2 No.3 No.4 No.5 No.6 \(2020\)](#)
- ✚ [Vol.17 No.1 No.2 \(2021\)](#)
- ✚ [Subscription](#)
- ✚ [Call for Papers](#)
- ✚ [Other Journals](#)

© All rights are reserved with **Research India Publications**

[Home](#)
[Journals](#) [Contact](#)

Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (GJPAM)

Volume 13 Number 7 (2017)

Contents

[Deszcz pseudo symmetric type of \$\alpha\$ -Sasakian manifolds](#)

pp. 2777–2791

Kanak Kanti Baishya

[Strong BIs-set and another decomposition of continuity](#)

pp. 2793–2801

R. Santhi and M. Rameshkumar

[On Some Strong and Convergence Theorems for Total Asymptotically Quasi-Nonexpansive Mappings in CAT\(0\) Spaces](#)

pp. 2803–2818

Preety Malik, Madhu Aggarwal and Renu Chugh

[Secure and Data Hiding Mechanism Using Biometrics Based on Face and Finger Print](#)

pp. 2819–2824

P. Sharmila, K. Amritha and A. Viji Amutha Mary

[Blasius Flow with Suction and Blowing](#)

pp. 2825–2835

P. Palanichamy

[New Results on Energy of Graphs of Small Order](#)

pp. 2837–2848

Sophia Shalini G. B and Mayamma Joseph

[M-Projective Curvature Tensor on Lorentzian \$\alpha\$ -Sasakian Manifolds](#)

pp. 2849–2858

Venkatesha and Shanmukha B

[Intuitionistic Fuzzy \$\gamma^*\$ Generalized Continuous Mappings](#)

pp. 2859–2873

Riya V. M and Jayanthi D

[On the Construction of Larger Singular Graphs](#)

pp. 2875–2894

T.K. Mathew Varkey and John K. Rajan

[A Simulation Study on M/M/1 and M/M/C Queueing Model in a Multi Speciality Hospital](#)

pp. 2895–2906

S.Shanmugasundaram and P.Umarani

[Effect of micro-inertia on reflection/refraction of Plane waves at the orthotropic and thermoelastic micropolar materials with voids](#)

pp. 2907–2921

R. Lianggenga and S. S. Singh

[Generalized RK Integrators for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations: A Survey & Comparison Study](#)

pp. 2923–2949

Mohammed S. Mechee and Yasen Rajihy

[Password Based Group Key Exchange Protocol via Twin Diffie Hellman Problem](#)

pp. 2951–2964

Shruti Nathani and B.P. Tripathi

[Designing Multimedia Learning for Solving Linear Programming](#)

pp. 2965–2973

Hardi Tambunan

[The Uniqueness of Image Segmentation Generated by Different Minimum Spanning Tree](#)

pp. 2975–2982

Efron Manik, Saib Suwilo and Tulus and Opim Salim Sitompul

[Some Results on Block Frames](#)

pp. 2983–2996

Khole Timothy Poumai, Ghansyam Singh Rathore and Sunayana Bhati

[Fixed Point Theorem in Cone B-Metric Spaces Using Contractive Mappings](#)

pp. 2997-3004

Neetu Sharma

[Online Payment of Tolls and Tracking of Theft Vehicles Using number plate image](#)

pp. 3005-3012

Prathiba, Sahaya Deenu and Viji Amutha Mary A

[Approximate Solution of Muntz System](#)

pp. 3013-3020

Damayanti Nayak, Saumya Ranjan Jena and Mitali Madhumita Acharya

[Approximate Solution of Real Definite Integrals Over a Circle in Adaptive Environment](#)

pp. 3021-3031

Kumudini Meher, Saumya Ranjan Jena and Arjun Kumar Paul

[Soft Minimal Continuous and Soft Maximal Continuous Maps in Soft Topological Spaces](#)

pp. 3033-3047

Chetana C and K. Naganagouda

[General solution and Ulam-Hyers Stability of Duodeviginti Functional Equations in Multi-Banach Spaces](#)

pp. 3049-3065

R. Murali, M. Eshaghi-Gordji, A. Antony Raj

[Common Fixed Point for Generalized \$\(\Psi, \alpha, \beta\)\$ -Weakly Contractive Mappings in Dislocated Metric Spaces](#)

pp. 3067-3081

Anita Dahiya, Asha Rani, Asha Rani & Kumari Jyoti

[Partial Slip Effect of MHD Boundary Layer Flow of Nanofluids and Radiative Heat Transfer over a permeable Stretching Sheet](#)

pp. 3083-3103

Shravani Ittedi, Dodda Ramya and Sucharitha Joga

[Public Key Cryptosystem Based on Numerical Methods](#)

pp. 3105-3112

Inaam Razzaq AL-Siaq

[Lict Double Domination in Graphs](#)

pp. 3113-3120

M. H. Muddebihal and Suhas. P. Gade

[Implementation of Real Time Operating System based 6-degree-of-freedom](#)

Research India Publications

Global Journal of Pure And Applied Mathematics (GJPAM)

Associate Editors :

Sunil Mathur, Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, 1120 15th Street, AE 1040, Augusta, GA 30912-4900 **USA**

M. Bohner, University of Missouri at Rolla, Department of Mathematics, 106 Rolla Building, Rolla, MO 65409-0020, **USA**

Editorial Board Members :

Dr Azizur Rahman, Senior Lecturer, School Of Computing And Mathematics, Charles Sturt University, Boorooma Street, Wagga Wagga, Australia.

Area of Interest : Theoretical And Applied Statistics, Small Area Estimation, Bayesian Statistical Modelling, Microsimulation Modelling, Biostatistics, Public Health, Applied Economics And Data Science.

Dr. Li MA, Lecturer, School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, FeiCui Road 420, Hefei, Anhui, China.

Area of Interest : Reductions of fractional-order systems; Hadamard fractional calculus; Dynamics of fractional-order systems

Dr. Raed Ali Alkhasawneh, Assistant Professor, Department Of Statistics, Faculty of applied studies and community service at University of Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

Area of Interest : Applied mathematics, Numerical Analysis

Dr. Juan Manuel Peña, Professor of Applied Mathematics, Departamento de Matematica Aplicada, Edificio de Matemáticas, Universidad de Zaragoza, Pedro Cebruna, 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

Area of Interest : approximation theory, computer aided geometric design, numerical analysis, matrix theory

Dr. Ömer Küsmüş, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applied, Van Yuzuncu Yil University / Turkey, Department of Math., Faculty of Science, Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Zeve Campus, 65080, Van, Turkey.

Area of Interest : Commutative Rings, Group Rings, Group Algebras, Module Theory, Lie Theory

—

Rosalio G. Artes, Jr, Department of Mathematics and Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Mindanao State University, Tawi-Tawi College of Technology and Oceanography, Sanga-Sanga, 7500 Bongao, Tawi-Tawi, **Philippines**

Area of Interest: Approximation Theory, Fourier Analysis, Mathematical Biology, Crystallography.

G.M. N'Guerekata, Professor and Chair, Department of Mathematics , Morgan State University, 1700 E. Cold Spring Lane, Room CR 251, Baltimore, MD 21251 – USA

Areas of Interest: Abstract Differential Equations; Almost Automorphic and Almost Periodic Functions.

R. P. Agarwal, Prof. & Chair, Texas A & M University - Kingville, 700 University Blvd. MSC 172, Kingsville, Texas, **USA**

Areas of Interest: Differential Equations; Difference Equations; Fixed-Point Theorems; Inequalities; and Numerical Analysis.

N.U. Ahmed, SITE, 161 Louis Pasteur, University of Ottawa, Ontario, K1N6N5, **Canada**

Area of Interest: Nonlinear Analysis; Stochastic Control; Differential Inclusions; and Nonlinear Filtering.

G. A. Anastassiou, Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, **USA**

Areas of Interest: Approximation Theory; Inequalities; Moment Theory; Wavelet; and Fuzzy Mathematics.

D. Bugajewska, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, **Poland**

Areas of Interest: Ordinary Differential Equations; and Integral Equations.

E. Camouzis, Department of Mathematics, The American College of Greece, Deree College Gravias 6 Str., Aghia Paraskevi, Athens, **Greece**

Areas of Interest: Global Analysis of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order and its Applications; Dynamical Systems and its Applications.

C. Cesarano, Università Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Facoltà di Ingegneria, Via Emilio Longoni, 83 00155 Roma, **Italy**

Areas of Interest: Special Functions; Orthogonal Polynomials and Related Applications to Differential Equations.

S. S. Cheng, Department of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, **Taiwan**

Areas of Interest: Ordinary and Partial Difference Equations; Ordinary Differential Equations; Functional and Functional Differential Equations.

A. Dermoune, UMR-CNRS 8524, Laboratoire Paul Painleve, Universite des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, 59655-Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, **France**

Areas of Interest: Applications of Probability and Statistics; Macro-Economics; Micro-Economics; and Partial Differential Equations.

S. S. Dragomir, Chair in Mathematical Inequalities, School of Computer Science & Mathematics, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001, **Australia**

Areas of Interest: Classical Mathematical Analysis; Theory of Inequalities; Convex Functions; Best Approximation.

P. Eloe, Department of Mathematics, University of Dayton, Dayton, OH 45469-2316 **USA**

Area of Interest: Boundary Value Problems; Functional Differential Equations; and Computation.

A. Fiorenza, Università di Napoli "Federico II", Dipartimento di Architettura, via Monteoliveto, 3 80134 - NAPOLI (NA), **Italy**

Area of Interest: Variable Lebesgue Spaces; variable Sobolev spaces; Grand Lebesgue spaces; Small Lebesgue spaces.

D. Girela, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, **Spain**

Areas of Interest: Complex Analysis and Operator Theory.

J. R. Graef, Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN 37403 **USA**

Area of Interest: Differential Equations, Difference Equations, Dynamical Systems, Biological Modeling.

S. Hamadene, Université du Maine, Département de Mathématiques, Laboratoire de Statistique et Processus, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, **France**

Areas of Interests: Stochastic Optimal Control and Stochastic Differential Games; Backward and Backward-Forward Stochastic Differential Equations.

O. Hili, National Polytechnic Institute of Yamoussoukro, BP 1911, Yamoussoukro, **Ivory Coast**

Areas of Interest: Statistics.

H. Th. Jongen, Department of Mathematics – C, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, **Germany**

Areas of Interest: Nonconvex Optimization; Parametric Optimization; Global Optimization; Semi-Infinite Optimization.

Il B. Jung, Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, 702-701 **South Korea**

Areas of Interest: Operator Theory and Moment Theory.

A. Kamal, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, S.Q. University, P.O. Box 36, Al Khoudh 123 **Oman**

Area of Interest: Abstract approximation Theory.

T. Kwembe, Department of Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, Jackson State University, Jackson, Mississippi 39217, **USA**

Areas of Interest: Differential Equations, Partial Differential Equations and Applications, Mathematical Biology.

T. Kusano, Department of Applied mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University, 8-19-1 Nanakuma, Jonan-ku, Fukuoka, 814-0180 **Japan**

Areas of Interest: Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations.

D.R. Larson, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3368, **USA**

Research Interests: Functional Analysis; Applied Harmonic Analysis.

E.-B. Lin, Department of Mathematics, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH 43606 **USA**

Areas of Interest: Wavelet Theory; Mathematical Physics; and Complex Geometry.

M.F. Mahmood, Department of Mathematics, Howard University, 2441 6th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20059, **USA**

Areas of Interest: Nonlinear partial differential equations; nonlinear waves in optics, plasmas and fluids; solitons; systems and signals.

T.M. Mills, Department of Mathematics, La Trobe University, PO Box 199, Bendigo 3552, **Australia**

Areas of Interest: Approximation Theory; History of Mathematics; Inequalities; Probability and Stochastic Process.

H. Ouerdiane, Department of Mathematics. Faculty of Sciences of Tunis. Campus universitaire. 1060 Tunis. **Tunisia**

Areas of Interest: Infinite dimensional Analysis, White Noise analysis, Stochastic Analysis, Stochastic differential equations.

Y. Ouknine, Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, B.P. 2390, Marrakech, 40000 **Morocco**

Areas of Interest: Probability; and Stochastic Analysis.

J. M. Rassias, Professor of Mathematics, National and Capodistrian University of Athens, **Greece**

Areas of Interest: Linear and Nonlinear Mathematical Analysis with Applications.

S. Reich, Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, **Israel**

Areas of Interest: Nonlinear Operator Theory; Nonlinear Evolution Equations; and Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy.

Mohammad Z. Abu-Sbeih, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, **Saudi Arabia**

N. C. Sacheti, Department of Mathematics & Statistics, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, **Oman**

Areas of Interest: Fluid Mechanics - Non-Newtonian Flows; Hydromagnetic Flows; and Flow through Porous Media.

M. Sifi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Campus Universitaire, 2092 Manar II, Tunis, **Tunisia**

Areas of Interest: Fourier analysis in one and Several Variables; Non-Trigonometric Fourier Analysis; Integral Transforms; and Integral Equations.

H. M. Srivastava, Professor Emeritus, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, **Canada**

Areas of Interest: Real and Complex Analysis, Fractional Calculus and Its Applications, Integral Equations and Transforms.

A. Soufyane, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, United Arab Emirates University, P. O. Box 17551, Al-Ain, **United Arab Emirates**

Areas of Interest: Control Theory, Stability of Systems, Numerical Methods of PDE's.

F. Wagemann, Université de Nantes, Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques, 2, rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes cedex 3, **France**

Areas of Interest: Mathematical Physics.

H.K. Xu, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, **South Africa**

Areas of Interest: Nonlinear functional analysis; Geometry of Banach spaces; and Mathematical finance.

A. A. Yakubu, Department of Mathematics, Howard University, 2441 6th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20059, **USA**

Areas of Interest: Differential Equations; Difference Equations; Dynamical Systems; and Mathematical Biology.

Mostafa Eslami, Assistant Professor Department of Mathematics University of Mazandaran Babolsar, Iran

Florance Matarise, Department of Statistics, University of Zimbabwe, PO Box MP167. Mount Pleasant, Harare, **Zimbabwe**.
Areas of Interest: Statistics (Time Series Analysis).

Mohammad Mirzazadeh, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, **Iran**

Cristina Flaut, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ovidius University, Constanta, **Romania**

Rejeb Hadji, Mathematics Department, UPEC, University Paris Est Creteil, UFR Sciences, 61 Avenue du Generale De Gaulle, Creteil, cedex, **France**
Area of Interest: Nonlinear PDE - Micromagnetics- Ginzburg-Landau problems and problem with Sobolev exponent.

Ratnasingham Shivaji, Dept of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC 27412, **USA**
Area of Research: Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems.

Jyotindra Prajapati, Mathematical Sciences Department, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Charotar University of Science and Technology, Changa, Anand, Gujarat, **India**
Area of Interest: Special functions, Integral Transforms, Fractional calculus

O'Regan, Donal, Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland at Galway, University Road, Galway, **Ireland**
Areas of Interest: Nonlinear Analysis.

© All rights are reserved with [Research India Publications](#)
[Contact](#)

[Home Journals](#)

The Uniqueness of Image Segmentation Generated by Different Minimum Spanning Tree

Efron Manik

*Department of Mathematics, University of Sumatera Utara,
and Department of Mathematics Education,
Nommensen HKBP University, Medan-Indonesia.*

Saib Suwilo and Tulus

*Department of Mathematics,
University of Sumatera Utara, Medan-Indonesia.*

Opim Salim Sitompul

*Department of Computer Science,
University of Sumatera Utara, Medan-Indonesia.*

Abstract

Image segmentation is an important topic in computer vision and image can be viewed as a connected graph. One method for segmenting the image is the use of minimum spanning tree for a graph. The minimum spanning tree of the connected graph can always be built. If the edge that is a weight greater than a threshold of minimum spanning tree is removed, it will form some of the connected components. One of the connected components will form a segment of an image. We know that the minimum spanning tree for a weight graph is not unique. The problem in this study is what the different minimum spanning tree does not produce the different segments of the image. We will discuss the components obtained, namely: if the edge that is a weight greater than a threshold of minimum spanning tree is removed. Let $G(V, E)$ is a weight graph. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, and S, T is a minimum spanning tree of the graph G . Suppose that all the edges with weights greater than or equal to α are removed from S , and T . Then the connected components S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p of the tree S and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_q of the tree T will be formed. Then $p = q$ and if $V(S_i) \cap V(T_j) \neq \emptyset$ then $V(S_i) = V(T_j)$. So although S , and T minimum spanning

tree of a graph G is different, but each set of points of a connected component of S has the same pair of a set of points of a connected component of T . If that graph is viewed as an image, it can be concluded that image segmentation generated by different minimum spanning tree is unique.

AMS subject classification: 05C (Graph theory).

Keywords: Segmentation, minimum spanning tree, connected component.

1. Introduction

Image segmentation is an important topic in computer vision. Region-growing approach, the boundary approach, graph approach is a variety of approaches to segmenting the image. Set of vector sequence that converges to a point will form one segment. Such a method is called a region-growing meanshift method (see [1]). The area for each segment is strongly influenced by the value of bandwidth. If the value of bandwidth to be smaller then the area of each segment to be great. We actually expect a collection of several small segments will form a large segment to a value smaller bandwidth. But meanshift method does not work as intended. This is a weakness meanshift method (see [2]). The border approach [3] employs edge function in MatLab to segment the image. The use of minimum spanning tree (MST) for a graph is another method for segmenting. If the edge whose weight is greater than the threshold value is removed from the minimum spanning tree then some connected components will be formed that comes from the tree. Each connected component is seen as one segment (see [4]).

Peter [4] explains that the image of mining is more than just an extension of data mining for domain image. The technique used to extract the direct knowledge of the image is a part of data mining. The first step in mining image is image segmentation. Minimum Spanning Tree-based Structural Similarity Clustering for Image Mining with Local Region Outliers (MSTSSCIMLRO) algorithm is used for segmenting the image. MSTSSCIMLRO algorithm able to detect outlier data. MSTSSCIMLRO algorithm uses Euclidean distance weighted to the side (edge), the which is a key element in building a graph of the image. Image segmentation based MST is a fast and efficient method to produce a set of segments of an image. This algorithm uses a new cluster validation criteria based on geometric properties partitioning of the data sets to find the right number of segments. The algorithm works in two stages. The first stage of the algorithm creates a number of optimal segments, where as the second phase of the algorithm further segment the optimal number of segments and detecting outliers local area.

Minimum Spanning Tree (MST), denoted EMST1, is obtained by using Kurskal algorithm. Furthermore, \bar{w} and σ is calculated, where the \bar{w} is the average of all weights contained in EMST1 and σ is the standard deviation. Each edge e_i with $w(e_i) > \bar{w} + \sigma$ removed from EMST1 and this has resulted in a set of disjoint sub-tree, for example: $S_T = \{T_1, T_2, \dots\}$. Every T_i is seen as a segments.

MSTSSCIMLRO algorithm has several advantages. Segmentation is not influenced by the selection of the initial value. The benefits of this algorithm is to find common

ground structure (segment) in the cluster. Data outliers have little or no influence in order to determine a final conclusion. This algorithm is able to determine the number of clusters based only on data that is processed. We do think that this is more natural way to segment the image. All of reviews these look nice from the theoretical point of view, there is still some room for improvement for the running time of the clustering algorithm (see [4]).

From the above description, we know that if the edge of MST, whose weight is greater than the threshold, is removed from the tree then some connected components will be formed. One connected component will form one segment in the image. But we know that the MST for a weight graph is not unique. The problem in this study is what the different minimum spanning tree does not produce the different segments of the image.

In this paper, we will discuss about the segmentation of images obtained from different MST. Section 2 discusses the graph and trees that will be needed for proving theorems in the next section. Section 3 contains evidence that different MST will still produce the same image segmentation. Finally, this paper ends with Section of conclusions and suggestions.

2. Graf and Trees

Before discussing spanning tree, we will first discuss the terms of a graph. Notions of graph and trees, which are required, will be written next. Definitions, theorems, and proofs in this section is taken from [5].

Definition 2.1. A **graph** G is an object consisting of

- A finite set and not empty V whose elements are called points of the graph G ,
- Together with a set E which is a subset of unordered pairs of elements in the set V . Elements of the set E is called the edge of the graph G .

A graph G with a set of points V and the set of edges E is denoted by $G(V, E)$. Sometimes to clarify the context of the conversation, the set of points on a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$ and the set of edges in a graph G is denoted by $E(G)$. In the same way for the H subgraph of a graph G , the notation $V(H)$ is the set of points at subgraph H and $E(H)$ is the set of edges in the subgraph H . Graf $G(V, E)$ is called a **weight graph** if a graph $G(V, E)$ with a real-valued function $w : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. For every edge $\{u, v\}$ in $E(G)$, the value of $w(\{u, v\})$ is called the weight of the edge $\{u, v\}$. Total weight of all edges of the graph G denoted

$$w(G) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} w(e).$$

Many problems in life can be modeled in a graph. The problem can be solved by solving problems like in graph problems. Image in computer vision can be viewed as a graph. Pixels is a point in the graph and the weight of edges connecting these points is the

difference in intensity between adjacent points. We can make the nets as grid graph (each point is connected with the surrounding four points, namely: the point of the left, right, top, and bottom) or as supergrid graph (each point is connected with eight points surrounding) (see [6]).

We started the concept of connectedness in the graph by introducing some important terms. Suppose G is a graph. A **walk** with t connecting points u and v is a sequence consisting of t edges in the form

$$\{u = u_0, u_1\}, \{u_1, u_2\}, \{u_2, u_3\}, \dots, \{u_{t-1}, u_t = v\}.$$

Note that in the above sequence for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1$ edge to i have the same end point with the starting point of the edge to $i + 1$. A walk is said to be **open** when $u \neq v$ and is said to be **closed** when $u = v$. It is possible that in a walk might be a repetition of edge. A walk without repetition of an edge is called **path**. A closed path is called a **circuit**. Further, in a path it is possible there is also a point repeated use. A path without repetition point, except perhaps the end points, is called a **simple path**. A simple path can also be defined as a path without repetition point, except perhaps the endpoints. A **circle** is a simple closed path.

Two points u and v are said to be connected if there is a walk in the graph G connecting a point u to point v . Equivalence class of connectedness relation for the two points is referred to as a connected component of the graph G . A graph G is said to be connected if G has exactly one connected component. In other words, a graph G is said to be connected if for every pair of points u and v there is a walk that connects a point u to point v .

Next we will discuss a special graph, which is a tree. We will also observe the special properties of the tree that are useful in this paper.

Definition 2.2. A **tree** is a connected graph, which does not contain circles.

First Properties of the tree, that will be discussed, is the relationship between the number of vertices and edges of a tree. The following theorem states that the number of edges is smaller than the number of points.

Theorem 2.3. A graph T with n vertices and m edges is a tree if and only if T is connected and $n = m + 1$.

Furthermore, a simple path connecting the two points will be the basis of a statement saying that the addition of one edge to the tree will form a circle. It will be written in the next Corollary.

Theorem 2.4. Theorem 2.4. A graph T is a tree if and only if any two different points is connected by exactly one simple path.

Corollary 2.5. If two points are not neighbors in a tree are connected, it will be formed exactly one circle.

Proof. Let u and v are not adjacent points on the tree. According to Theorem 4 then there is exactly one simple path P from point u to the point v . So $\langle P, \{v, u\} \rangle$ a simple trajectory and closed. So exactly one circle is formed. ■

The uniqueness of the circle in the corollary above will be used in the proof of the theorems later.

Theorem 2.6. Every connected graph G has a spanning tree.

This theorem states the existence of spanning tree. This means that we can construct a spanning tree of a graph if the graph is connected.

Definition 2.7. An **incidence matrix** of a digraph D on n points $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and m edge $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ is a matrix $P(G) = (p_{ij})$ with order $n \times m$ where entry is defined as

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ incidence to } s_j, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \text{ incidence of } s_j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \text{ do not incidence with } s_j. \end{cases}$$

Suppose G is a graph and digraph D is the orientation of G . Let P be the incidence matrix of a digraph D and J is a square matrix with all entries 1.

The following theorem says that the spanning tree graph is not unique. It is not unique to make us want to know the effect on the image segmentation or graph.

Theorem 2.8. The number of spanning tree of a graph G with n point is $\kappa(G) = \det(J + PP^t)/n^2$.

The spanning tree with the minimum sum of all weights is important. Spanning tree with the minimum sum of all weights is called Minimum Spanning Tree (MST). Many algorithms were developed to determine the MST, for example: Kruskal's algorithm.

Algorithm: Kruskal

Input : A weight undirected graph $G(V, E, w)$!

Output : A minimum spanning tree T .

1. Sort the edges in E in $w(e_1) \leq w(e_2) \leq \dots \leq w(e_m)$.
2. $T \leftarrow (V(G), \emptyset)$.
3. **for** $i := 1$ to m **do**
 if $T + e_i$ not load circles **then**
 $T \leftarrow T + e_i$

Is the graph produced by Kruskal algorithm a minimum spanning tree? This question will be answered on the following theorem. Proof of this theorem will be written, because the steps on the proof of this theorem is similar to what will be done in the proof of the theorems in Section 3.

Theorem 2.9. Kruskal's algorithm produces a minimum spanning tree of a weight connected graph.

Proof. Suppose G is a connected weight graph with n points, and let T is a subgraph generated by Kruskal algorithm. Due to the replacement of $T := T + e_i$ in step 3 of the algorithm if the edge e_i do not form a cycle with the edges before. Then T is a spanning tree of G . According to Theorem 3 the edges can be written

$$E(T) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\},$$

where $w(e_1) \leq w(e_2) \leq \dots \leq w(e_{n-1})$. So that the weight of the tree T is

$$w(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w(e_i).$$

Next we will show that T is a minimum spanning tree of G . Suppose instead that T is not a minimum spanning tree. So among all the minimum spanning tree of G , there is a minimum spanning tree, say H , which has the maximum number of edges that equal to T . Now edge spanning tree T and H are not identical, then T has at least one edge that is not in H . Suppose $e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ is a first edge of the T is not present in H . Let $H_1 = H + e_j$, then according to Corollary 5, H_1 has exactly one circle, say C . Since T does not have the circle, there are edge e_0 in C not be in T . Since e_0 is an edge of the circle C , then $T_1 = H_1 - e_0$ is a spanning tree and

$$w(T_1) = w(H) + w(e_j) - w(e_0).$$

Because H is a minimum spanning tree, $w(H) \leq w(T_1)$. Consequently $w(e_0) \leq w(e_j)$. By Kruskal algorithm, e_j edge is a edge with a minimum weight so subgraph

$$\langle \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{j-1}\} \cup \{e_j\}$$

not load circles. But $\langle \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_0\} \rangle$ is subgraph of H therefore not loading circle, so $w(e_j) \leq w(e_0)$. So $w(T_1) = w(H)$, which means that the T_1 is a minimum spanning tree of G . But the T_1 has a number of edges equal to H more than the number of edges between T and H . The contradiction with the assumption that H is minimum spanning tree with the number of edges equal to T is maximum. So T is a minimum spanning tree. ■

According to Mayr [7], every minimum spanning tree can be generated using Kruskal algorithm. So that all minimum spanning tree can be seen as the minimum spanning tree generated by Kruskal algorithm.

3. Results and Discussion

We will start theorem that discusses a unique circle on a tree if the neighbor points are connected. This circle has the edge which have special properties.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G(V, E)$ a weight graph and S, T is a minimum spanning tree of G . If $e \in E(S) \setminus E(T)$, then $T + e$ contains exactly one circle C such that C contains edge $e' \in E(T) \setminus E(S)$ where $w(e) = w(e')$, $E(C - e) \subseteq E(T)$, $E(C - e') \subseteq E(S)$, and for each $e_i \in C$ applies $w(e_i) \leq w(e) = w(e')$.

Proof. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} edges of S , where $w(e_1) \leq w(e_2) \leq \dots \leq w(e_{n-1})$. Suppose that the first member $e_j = e \in E(S) \setminus E(T)$ is a member of S but not a member of T . Since T is minimum spanning tree of G then $T_1 = T + e$ contains exactly one cycle C . Thus $E(C - e) \subseteq E(T)$. Since S also minimum spanning tree of G that does not load the circle then the circle C contains edge $e' \in E(T) \setminus E(S)$ with the greatest weight. Because according to Corollary 5, the circle C is unique then $E(C - e') \subseteq E(S)$. Note $S_1 = T_1 - e'$ is a spanning tree of G and $w(S_1) = w(T) + w(e) - w(e')$. Because T is a minimum spanning tree of a graph G then $w(S_1) - w(T) \geq 0$ and $w(e') \leq w(e)$. Therefore $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_j$, where $e_j = e$, is the first edges of S and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{j-1}, e'$ is the subgraph of T that are not loading circle then by Kruskal algorithm on S we obtain $w(e) = w(e_j) \leq w(e')$. So $w(e) = w(e')$. Because e' is the edge with the greatest weight on the circle C then for each $e_i \in C$ apply $w(e_i) \leq w(e) = w(e')$. In the same way we can do the same thing for each edge of next member of $E(S) \setminus E(T)$. ■

Corollary 3.2. Different minimum spanning tree of a weight graph $G(V, E)$ may only differ in the edge with the same weight.

Proof. Let S, T minimum spanning tree which is different from a weight graph $G(V, E)$. Suppose $e \in E(S) \setminus E(T)$, then by Theorem 1 there is $e' \in E(T) \setminus E(S)$, where $w(e) = w(e')$. ■

Based on the above theorem, we will write theorems and proofs that are important in this paper. This theorem is the answer to the problems posed in Section 1.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G(V, E)$ is a weight graph. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, and S, T is a minimum spanning tree of the graph G . Suppose that all the edges with weights greater than or equal to α are removed from S , and T . Then the connected components S_1, S_2, \dots, S_p of the tree S and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_q of the tree T will be formed. Then $p = q$ and if $V(S_i) \cap V(T_j) \neq \emptyset$ then $V(S_i) = V(T_j)$.

Proof. In the case of $E(S_i) = E(T_j)$, $V(S_i) = V(T_j)$ is proved. So we just have to prove to the case $E(S_i) \neq E(T_j)$. Because $V(S_i) \cap V(T_j) \neq \emptyset$ then, no less generality, suppose there is only one $e \in E(S_i) \setminus E(T_j)$. By Theorem 10, there is exactly one circle C in $T_j + e$ containing edge e such that C contains edge $e' \in E(T_j) \setminus E(S_i)$ where $w(e) = w(e')$, $E(C - e) \subseteq E(T_j)$, $E(C - e') \subseteq E(S_i)$, and each $e_i \in C$ satisfy $w(e_i) \leq w(e) = w(e') < \alpha$. So $E(T_j - e') = E(S_i - e)$ and $V(T_j - e') = V(S_i - e)$. Because $V(C - e) = V(C - e') = V(C)$ so that $V(e) \subseteq V(C) = V(C - e) \subseteq V(T_j)$ and $V(e') \subseteq V(C) = V(C - e') \subseteq V(S_i)$. Then $V(S_i) = V(T_j)$. Furthermore, since $\cup_{i=1}^q V(S_i) = \cup_{i=1}^p V(T_j)$, number of connected component of S and T are finite, and

there is bijective mapping of S to T . So the number of connected components of S equals T . Then $p = q$. ■

Theorem 12 says that although S , and T minimum spanning tree of a graph G is different, but each set of points from a connected component of S had the same pair of a set of points from a connected component of T . If that graph is viewed as an image, one of the connected components will form a segment of an image. It can be concluded that Image segmentation Generated by Different Minimum spanning tree is unique.

4. Conclusion

Having regard to all of the above discussion, we can draw some conclusions. Image can be viewed as a connected graph. Spanning tree of a connected graph can always be built and not always single. So the minimum spanning tree of the connected graph can always be built and not always unique. If the edge that is a weight greater than a threshold of minimum spanning tree is removed, it will form some of the connected components. One of the connected components will form a segment of an image. Theorem 12 concludes that the image segmentation generated by different minimum spanning tree is unique.

References

- [1] D. Freedman, and P. Kisilev , KDE Paring and a Faster Mean Shift Algorithm, *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, **3(4)**, (2010), 878–903.
- [2] E. Manik, 2010, Pengaruh Bandwidth Terhadap Segmentasi Citra Digital Dengan Menggunakan Mean Shift, *VISI*, **18(1)**, (2010), 43–49.
- [3] T. Uemura, G. Koutaki, and K. Uchimura, Image Segmentation Based on Edge Detection Using Boundary Code' *Proc. ICIC International*, (2011).
- [4] S.J. Peter, Minimum Spanning Tree-based Structural Similarity Clustering for Image Mining with Local Region Outliers, *IJCA*, **8(6)**, (2010), 0975–8887.
- [5] B. Korte, and J. Vygen, *Combinatorial Optimization: Theory and Algorithms, Third Edition*. Springer-Verlag Berlin (2006).
- [6] R.W. Hung, "Hamiltonian cycles in linear-convex supergrid graphs," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **211**, (2016), 99–112.
- [7] E.W. Mayr, and C.G. Plaxton, On the spanning trees of weight graphs, *Combinatorica*, **12(4)**, (1992), 433–447.